



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virgunia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/661,986	09/14/2000	Harold Rosen	pd-2000083	8909	
20991 75	590 11/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE DIRECTV GROUP INC			LY, NGHI H		
PATENT DOC	KET ADMINISTRATION	N RE/R11/A109			
P O BOX 956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EL SEGUNDO	, CA 90245-0956)245-0956	2686		
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/661,986	ROSEN ET AL.	_
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>	Nghi H. Ly	2686	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 October 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unclosed.	,	• •	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-24</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-24 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and los ala ation no en incore ant	,	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ma/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	3.119(a)-(d).or.(f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	e .,
application from the International Bu	, , ,	,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	B) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·	~

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2686

4. Claims 1 and 4-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perahia et al (US 6,188,896) in view of Durvasula et al (US 6,137,451).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 15, 16, 17 and 21, Perahia teaches a method of preventing interference in a communication system (see column 2, lines 58-61 and see column 4, lines 29-33) comprising, the steps of: generating a fixed reuse pattern in a service area from a high altitude communications device (see fig.6), the pattern having at least a first resource cell and a second resource cell (also see fig.6) and reshaping the antenna surface (see column 5, lines 21-25, column 8, lines 16-20 and see column 12, lines 46-48).

Perahia does not specifically disclose selectively suppressing a side lobe of a beam having a first resource by selectively reshaping the antenna surface at interference locations and maintaining a shape of the antenna in non-interference locations to form a suppressed portion and a non-suppressed portion so that the non-suppressed portion aligns with the second resource cell and a side lobe suppressed portion aligns with the first resource cell.

Durvasula teaches selectively suppressing a side lobe of a beam having a first resource (see-fig-5, beam-38-and-beam-42) by-selectively-reshaping-the-antenna-surface at interference locations (column 2, lines 9-13, see "the reflector has been shaped specifically for coverage" and column 2, lines 13-30, see "the reflector is reshaped to suppress") and maintaining a shape of the antenna in non-interference locations to form a suppressed portion and a non-suppressed portion (the teaching of Durvasula teaches sidelobe of only one beam is suppressed, the other is not, in

Art Unit: 2686

addition, see fig.1, regions 40 and 44) so that the non-suppressed portion aligns with the second resource cell and side lobe suppressed portion aligns with the first resource cell (column 2, lines 9-13, see "the reflector has been shaped specifically for coverage" and column 2, lines 13-30, see "the reflector is reshaped to suppress". The teaching of Durvasula inherently teaches that after the reflector is reshaped, the non-suppressed portion will align with the second resource cell and side lobe suppressed portion will align with the first resource cell. In addition, see fig.1, regions 40 and 44, and beams 30 and 32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the teaching of Durvasula into the system of Perahia in order to reduce interference.

Regarding claims 4, 12 and 18, Perahia further teaches the first resource and the second resource comprise a frequency (see column 4, lines 43-46, "frequency reuse").

Regarding claims 5, 13 and 19, the combination of Perahia and Durvasula does not specifically disclose the first resource and the second resource comprise polarization.

However, polarization reuse is commonly used for resource reuse and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to design a system so the first resource and the second resource comprise polarization.

Regarding claims 6, 14 and 20, the combination of Perahia and Durvasula does not specifically disclose the first resource and the second resource comprise an

Art Unit: 2686

orthogonal code. However, orthogonal code reuse is commonly used in CDMA system for resource reuse and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to design a system so the first resource and the second resource comprise an orthogonal code.

Regarding claims 7 and 10, Perahia further teaches the high altitude communication device comprises a satellite (see fig.6).

Regarding claims 8 and 11, Perahia further teaches the high altitude communication device comprises a stratospheric platform (see fig.6, it is inherent that in the satellite-based system of Parahia include the system of stratospheric platform).

Regarding claim 22, Perahia further teaches generating the beams using an antenna on-board a high altitude communication device (see column 4, lines 29-32).

Regarding claim 23, Perahia further teaches the high altitude communication device comprises a satellite (see fig.6).

Regarding claim 24, Perahia further teaches the high altitude communication device comprises a stratospheric platform (see fig.6, it is inherent that in the satellite-based system of Parahia include the system of stratospheric platform).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2686

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (703) 605-5164.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm-Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2686

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

Marsha D. Banks-Harold

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600